

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	F	ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/893,709		06/29/2001	Lambert Danner	016790-0430	5834
22428	7590	07/14/2004		EXAMINER	
FOLEY A		DNER	SUGARMAN, SCOTT J		
SUITE 500 3000 K STREET NW				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
WASHING	WASHINGTON, DC 20007			2873	
				DATE MAILED: 07/14/2004	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
	09/893,709	DANNER ET AL.					
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Scott J. Sugarman	2873					
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover she t with the c	correspondenc address					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day rill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
Status							
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 15 Ap	oril 2004.						
·=	action is non-final.						
Disposition of Claims							
4) Claim(s) 1-9 and 12 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray							
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-9 and 12</u> is/are rejected. 7)□ Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	r election requirement						
Application Papers							
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine		– .					
10) The drawing(s) filed on 29 June 2001 is/are: a)	•	·					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct	- · ·	, ,					
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex							
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
•	adadtu uadan 05 H O O C 440/a) (d) an (D					
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents)-(a) or (1).					
Certified copies of the priority documents	s have been received in Applicati	ion No					
 Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International Bureau 	-	ed in this National Stage					
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list	, , , ,	ed.					
Attachment(s)							
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary						
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)	Paper No(s)/Mail Di	ate Patent Application (PTO-152)					
Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6) Other:						

Application/Control Number: 09/893,709

Art Unit: 2873

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1, 5 and 12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Zapien et al (of record, IDS of 8-6-03). Zapien et al teaches a spectral ellipsometer having a refractive illuminating optical system for an illuminating ray bundle, coming from an illumination unit, for generating a measurement spot on a surface of a specimen (see Fig. 1 and explanation); and a detector unit that receives and detects, as a measured ray bundle, the light reflected from the surface at the location of the measurement spot, where the illuminating optical system is color-corrected (page 17, col. 1, lines 7-8) and where a receiving optical system that is color-corrected (page 17, col. 1, lines 13-15) is provided for the measured ray bundle. Note, that the range could be from 1.5 to 6.5 eV (see page 17, first and second columns) that would include a spectral range from approximately ultraviolet to approximately infrared.

Application/Control Number: 09/893,709

Art Unit: 2873

Claim R jections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 2-4 and 6-9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Zapien et al in view of Hecht (of record, IDS of 8-6-03). Zapien et al is cited for reasons set forth above against claims 1 and 5, but Zapien et al does not teach a specific construction of a color corrected, achromatic doublet or triplet. Hecht teaches on pages 232-238, the different types of achromatic optical systems (doublets and triplets) as well as the recited materials of making them. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to make the color corrected optical system of Zapien et al in the specific manner taught by Hecht, since this is the, literally, textbook manner of providing color correction in an optical system that requires it.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed April 15, 2004 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. Applicants argue that Zapien et al discloses only a UV achromat objective and could not be color-corrected over a spectral range from approximately ultraviolet to approximately infrared as recited in claims 1 and 5.

Application/Control Number: 09/893,709

Art Unit: 2873

However, the Examiner points out that even if Zapien et al only corrects at two points within the claimed range (as Applicants argue), it still meets the recited language "... color-corrected over a spectral range from approximately ultraviolet to approximately infrared...".

Conclusion

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Scott J. Sugarman whose telephone number is (571)272-2340.

The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Art Unit: 2873

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Scott J. Sugarman Primary Examiner Art Unit 2873

sjs July 9, 2004